### **Environmental Protection Agency**

# §52.1477 Nevada air pollution emergency plan.

Section 6.1.5 of the Emergency Episode Plan submitted on December 29, 1978 is disapproved since termination of the episode is left to the discretion of the Control Officer and not specified criteria and it does not meet the requirements of 40 CFR 51.16 and Appendix L. The old rule 6.1.5 submitted on January 28, 1972 is retained.

[45 FR 46385, July 10, 1980]

### §52.1478 [Reserved]

#### §52.1479 Source surveillance.

(a) The requirements of §51.211 of this chapter are not met, except in Clark County, since the plan does not provide adequate legally enforceable procedures for requiring owners or operators of stationary sources to maintain records of, and periodically report, information on the nature and amount of emissions.

(b) The requirements of §51.214 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not provide adequate legally enforceable procedures to require stationary sources subject to emission standards to submit information relating to emissions and operation of the emission monitors to the State as specified in Appendix P of part 51.

[37 FR 10878, May 31, 1972, as amended at 38 FR 12709, May 14, 1973; 40 FR 55331, Nov. 28, 1975; 43 FR 36933, Aug. 21, 1978; 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

#### §§ 52.1480—52.1481 [Reserved]

#### §52.1482 Compliance schedules.

(a)—(b) [Reserved]

(c) The compliance schedule revisions submitted for the sources identified below are disapproved as not meeting the requirement of subpart N of this chapter. All regulations cited are air pollution control regulations of the State, unless otherwise noted.

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date of adoption
Jack N. Tedford, Inc			Feb. 13, 1973.
Duval Corp  Mohave Generating Station, Southern California Edison Co.			Jan. 11, 1973.

[39 FR 14209, Apr. 22, 1974, as amended at 40 FR 3995, Jan. 27, 1975; 51 FR 40676, Nov. 7, 1986; 54 FR 25258, June 14, 1989]

#### §52.1483 Malfunction regulations.

- (a) The following regulations are disapproved because they would permit the exemption of sources from applicable emission limitations under certain situations and therefore they do not satisfy the enforcement imperatives of section 110 of the Clean Air Act.
- (1) Clark County District Board of Health
  - (i) Section 25, Rules 25.1-25.1.4.

[49 FR 10259, Mar. 20, 1984]

#### §52.1484 [Reserved]

# §52.1485 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan, except as it ap-

plies to the Clark County Health District, does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

- (b) Regulation for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of §52.21(b) through (w) are incorporated and made a part of the applicable State plan for the State of Nevada except for that portion applicable to the Clark County Health District.
- (c) All applications and other information required pursuant to §52.21 from sources located in the jurisdiction of the State of Nevada shall be submitted to the Director, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources,